

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/792,221	10/792,221 03/03/2004		Alfred W. Salvitti		4148
44321	7590	05/19/2006	EXAMINER		
PATRICIA 201 NORTH			HANEY, RICHALE LEE		
MEDIA, PA 19063				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3765	

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/792,221	SALVITTI, ALFRED W.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Richale L. Haney	3765					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ma	arch 2004.						
	action is non-final.						
<i>,</i>	ince this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
·							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-26 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.						
,=-	·						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.						
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	s have been received in Applicati	on No					
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
AM-al-man (Ma)							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) U Other:						

Art Unit: 3765

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Restriction/Election of 4/6/2006 is withdrawn in favor of the Election/Restriction that follows.

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species I: Embodiments shown in figures 1A, 1B, 2, 3, and 4

Species II: Embodiments shown in figures 5 and 6

Species III: Embodiment shown in figure 7

Species IV: Embodiment shown in figure 8

Species V: Embodiment shown in figures 9, 10, 11A and 11B

Species VI: Embodiment shown in figures 12

Species VII: Embodiment shown in figures 13, 14, 15, 16, 24A, 24B, and 24C

Species VIII: Embodiment shown in figures 17, 18, 21A, 21B, 21C, 22, and 23

Species IX: Embodiment shown in figures 19A, 19B, and 19C

Species X: Embodiment shown in figures 20A, 20B, and 20C

The species are independent or distinct because the structure defines distinct inventions.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 3, 4, 8, 9, and 15 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Application/Control Number: 10/792,221 Page 4

Art Unit: 3765

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richale L. Haney whose telephone number is 571-272-8689. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John J. Calvert can be reached on 571 -272-4983. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richale L. Haney Patent Examiner Art Unit 3765 May 9, 2006

**RLH** 

JOHAN CALVERT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700